

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,314	12/21/2001	Nobuaki Yamada	829-595	6744	
759	90 07/30/2002				
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			EXAMINER		
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ale	_
7100	_

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/024,314

ner	

Office Action Summary

Art Unit

Yamada e	t al.
nit	
2871	

	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	nondence addres			
renou for helpiy					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS	from the		
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin	g date of this communic	cation.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This act	ion is non-final.		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles of Old	except for formal matters, prosec rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11: 453 (	cution as to the	merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 22-31</u>	is/are	pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from	Consideration		
5) Claim(s)	i	s/are allowed	· consideration,		
6) X Claim(s) 1-11, 22, 23, 25, and 27-31	is	s/are rejected			
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>24 and 26</u>	is	s/are objected.			
8) Claims	are subject to restrict	ion and/marker to			
Application Papers	are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	on requirement.		
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Evam	iner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abevance. See	37 CER 1 85(a)			
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b	) disapproved	hy the Evaminer		
approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this Office action.		of the Examiner.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.				
2. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No.	08/990,13.	2 .		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	cuments have been received in the PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	nis National Stag	е		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 25 H.C.C. 5 4404				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional	nonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	120 a	na/or 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	e)			
2) Inotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC				
3) Vi Information Disalescon Control of the Control	Other:	. <b>-</b> •			

Application/Control Number: 10/024,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 22-23, 25 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicants submitted prior art, Horie et al., JP 8-292423.

The above claims are anticipated by Horie et al. figures 1, 10(a)-10(b) and accompanying text which disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising:

a pair of substrates (1, 2);

a negative dielectric anisotropic liquid crystal layer (between the pair of substrates), wherein liquid crystal molecules are aligned in vertical direction and axis-symmetrically; a plurality of convex portions (5) defining a pixel region as claimed;

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/024,314

Art Unit: 2871

a projection (4) at the central portion of the pixel region;

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants submitted prior art, Horie et al., JP 8-292423, in view of Applicants submitted prior art, Yamada et al., US 5,627,665.

Regarding claims 7-8, Horie et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for a twist angle and a retardation value of the liquid crystal layer as well as a pair of polarizing plates. Yamada et al. do disclose a twisted angle of 90 degrees (col. 19, ln. 50) and a retardation value of a liquid crystal layer being in the range of 300nm and 650nm (col. 19, ln. 13). Yamada et al. also disclose a pair of polarizing plates arranged in crossed Nicols (col. 9, ln. 56). Therefore, such disclosed range of the twist angle and the retardation value of the liquid crystal layer in Yamada et al. makes possible the twisted angle claimed range of about 45 degrees to about 110 degrees and the retardation value claimed range of 300nm to 500nm and overlapping ranges are at least obvious. *In re Malagari*, 499 Fed.2d 1297, 182 USPQ 549 CCPA 1974. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form a Nicols

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/024,314

Art Unit: 2871

polarizing plates in the Horie et al. LCD device as shown by Yamada et al. in order to improve viewing angle characteristics of an LCD device (col. 10, ln. 1).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 24 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The references of record neither disclose nor make obvious an LCD comprising an area of the region in which the liquid crystal molecules keep a homeotropic alignment state (Sa) and an area of the pixel region (A) satisfies the relationship of 0<Sa/A<4% as set fort in claims 24 and 26.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7730.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 07/29/2002

KENNETH PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER